## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.	CASE NO.: 8:03-CR-77-T-30TBM				
SAM	EEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH				
	VERDICT				
Cour	nt One of the Superseding Indictment				
1.	As to the offense of Conspiracy to Conduct or Participate in the Conduct of an				
	Enterprise through a Pattern of Racketeering Activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §				
	1962(d),				
	We, the Jury, find the Defendant, <b>SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH</b> :				
	Guilty Not Guilty				
	If you find the Defendant not guilty as charged in Count One, you need not				
	consider paragraphs 2 or 3 below.				
2.	We, the Jury, having found the Defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count				
	One, further find with respect to that Count that the Defendant specifically				
	intended that a member of the conspiracy would commit the racketeering activity				
	of: acts indictable under Title 18, United States Code, Section 956 (conspiracy to				
	murder or maim persons at places outside the United States);				
	Proven Not Proven				
	If you find the racketeering activity of "acts indictable under Title 18, United				

States Code, Section 956 (conspiracy to murder or maim persons at places

outside the United States)" in paragraph 2 not proven as charged, you need not consider paragraph 3 below.

We, the Jury, having found the Defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count 3. One, and having found that the Defendant specifically intended that a member of the conspiracy would commit the racketeering activity of "acts indictable under Title 18, United States Code, Section 956 (conspiracy to murder or maim persons at places outside the United States)," further find with respect to that

	Count that said racketeering activity involved:		
	A.	conspiracy to murder	
		Proven	Not Proven
	В.	conspiracy to maim	
		Proven	Not Proven
Coun	t Two	of the Superseding Indictment	
1.	As to the offense of Conspiracy to Murder or Maim Persons at Places Outside		
	the United States, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 956(a)(1),		
	We, the Jury, find the Defendant, <b>SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH</b> :		
	Guilty	Not G	Builty
	If you	find the Defendant not guilty as o	harged in Count Two, you need not
	consi	der paragraph 2 below.	
2.	We, t	he Jury, having found the Defend	ant guilty of the offense charged in Count
	Two,	further find with respect to that Co	ount that the Defendant conspired to

commits acts that would constitute the offense of:

	Α.	murder			
		Proven	Not Proven		
	B.	maiming			
		Proven	Not Proven		
Coun	t Thre	e of the Superseding Ind	ictment		
	As to the offense of Conspiracy to Provide Material Support to a Designated				
	Forei	gn Terrorist Organization, i	in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B,		
	We, 1	he Jury, find the Defendar	t, SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH:		
	Guilty		Not Guilty / / 3		
Coun	ıt Four	of the Superseding Indic	<u>ctment</u>		
	As to the offense of Conspiracy to Make and Receive Contributions of Funds,				
	Good	ls, or Services to, or for the	e Benefit of Specially Designated Terrorists, in		
	violat	ion of 18 U.S.C. § 371,			
	We,	the Jury, find the Defendar	nt, SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH:		
	Guilt	y	Not Guilty 12		
	If you	ı find the Defendant not gu	ilty as charged in Count Four, you need not		
	cons	ider paragraph 2 below.			
2. We, the Jury, having found defendant, <b>SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDE</b>			endant, <b>SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH</b> , guilty of		
	the o	ffense charged in Count F	our, further find with respect to that Count that the		
	Defe	ndant conspired to make a	and receive contributions of funds, goods, or		
	servi	ces to, or for the benefit of	the following Specially Designated Terrorist(s):		
	Α.	Palestinian Islamic Jihac	I - Shiqaqi Faction		
		Drovon	Not Proven		

	B.	Fathi Shiqaqi			
		Proven	Not Proven		
	C.	Abd Al Aziz Awda			
		Proven	Not Proven		
	D.	Ramadan Abdullah Shallah			
		Proven	Not Proven		
Count	Five	of the Superseding Indictment			
1.	As to t	the offense of Use of the Mail or A	Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign		
	Commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,				
	We, the Jury, find the Defendant, SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH:				
	Guilty	Not 0	Builty		
	If you	find the Defendant not guilty as c	harged in Count Five, you need not		
	consid	ler paragraph 2 below.			
2. We, the Jury, having found the Defendant, <b>SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUD</b> guilty of the offense charged in Count Five, further find with respect to the			ant, SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH,		
			Five, further find with respect to that Count		
	that the Defendant committed the offense:				
	A.	with the intent to commit any crit	me of violence to further the unlawful		
		activity of extortion or money lau	indering		
		Proven	Not Proven		
	В.	with the intent to otherwise prom	note, manage, establish, carry on, or		
		facilitate the promotion, manage	ement, establishment, or carrying on, of		
		the unlawful activity of extortion	or money laundering		
		Proven	Not Proven		

## **Count Six of the Superseding Indictment**

1.	As to the offense of Use of the Mail or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign					
	Commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,					
	We, the Jury, find the Defendant, SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH:					
	Guilty	0		Not G	uilty	<del>}</del>
	If you	If you find the Defendant not guilty as charged in Count Six, you need not				
	consider paragraph 2 below.					
2.	We, the Jury, having found the Defendant, SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH,					
	guilty of the offense charged in Count Six, further find with respect to that Count					
	that the Defendant committed the offense:					
	Α.	with the	intent to co	ommit any crim	ne of violer	nce to further the unlawful
		activity of	of extortion	or money laur	ndering	
		Proven .			Not Prove	en
	В.	with the	intent to ot	herwise promo	ote, manaç	ge, establish, carry on, or
		facilitate	the promo	tion, managen	nent, estal	olishment, or carrying on, of
		the unla	wful activity	y of extortion o	r money la	aundering
		Proven			Not Prove	en
Coun	t Ten o	of the Su	perseding	Indictment		
1.	As to the offense of Use of the Mail or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign					
	Commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,					
	We, t	he Jury, f	find the Def	endant, <b>SAME</b>	EH TAHA	HAMMOUDEH:
		Guilty	0		Not Guilty	, 12

If you find the Defendant not guilty as charged in Count Ten, you need not consider paragraph 2 below.

We, the Jury, having found the Defendant, SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH, 2. quilty of the offense charged in Count Ten, further find with respect to that Count that the Defendant committed the offense: with the intent to commit any crime of violence to further the unlawful Α. activity of extortion or money laundering Not Proven Proven with the intent to otherwise promote, manage, establish, carry on, or В. facilitate the promotion, management, establishment, or carrying on, of the unlawful activity of extortion or money laundering Proven Not Proven Count Eleven of the Superseding Indictment 1. As to the offense of Use of the Mail or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign Commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, **SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH**: Guilty \_\_\_\_\_ Not Guilty \_\_\_\_/ 2 If you find the Defendant not guilty as charged in Count Eleven, you need not consider paragraph 2 below.

We, the Jury, having found the Defendant, SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH, guilty of the offense charged in Count Eleven, further find with respect to that Count that the Defendant committed the offense:

	A.	with the intent to commit any crime of violence to further the unlawful		
		activity of extortion or money I	aundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	
	В.	with the intent to otherwise pro	omote, manage, establish, carry on, or	
		facilitate the promotion, mana	gement, establishment, or carrying on, of	
		the unlawful activity of extortion	n or money laundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	
Coun	t Seve	nteen of the Superseding Ind	<u>ictment</u>	
1.	As to the offense of Use of the Mail or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign			
	Comr	merce, in violation of 18 U.S.C.	§ 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,	
	We, t	he Jury, find the Defendant, <b>SA</b>	MEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH:	
	Guilty	/ No	t Guilty/ 2	
	If you	find the Defendant not guilty a	s charged in Count Seventeen, you need no	
	consi	der paragraph 2 below.		
2.	We, t	he Jury, having found the Defe	ndant, <b>SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH</b> ,	
	guilty	of the offense charged in Cour	nt Seventeen, further find with respect to tha	
	Coun	t that the Defendant committed	the offense:	
	A.	with the intent to commit any	crime of violence to further the unlawful	
		activity of extortion or money	aundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	

	B. with the intent to otherwise promote, manage, establish, carry on, or		
		facilitate the promotion, man	agement, establishment, or carrying on, of
		the unlawful activity of extort	ion or money laundering
		Proven	Not Proven
Coun	t Forty	-Five of the Superseding In	dictment
1.	As to the offense of False Statement in Immigration Application, in violation		
	U.S.C	. § 1546(a),	
	We, th	ne Jury, find the Defendant, <b>S</b>	SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH:
	Guilty	N	lot Guilty 13
	If you	find the Defendant not guilty	as charged in Count Forty-Five, you need not
	consid	der paragraph 2 below.	
2.	We, tl	ne Jury, having found the Def	endant, <b>SAMEEH TAHA HAMMOUDEH</b> ,
	guilty	of the offense charged in Co	unt Forty-Five, further find with respect to that
	Count	t that the offense was commit	ted to facilitate an act of international
	terrori	ism:	
	Prove	n N	Not Proven
	SO S	AY WE ALL, this _5 day o	of Recender, 2005.
			#105 FOREPERSON